

Jakarta EE Spec Committee - May 5th, 2021

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu

Dan Bandera - IBM - **Kevin Sutter**, Tom Watson

Ed Bratt - Oracle - **Dmitry Kornilov**

Andrew Pielage - Payara - Matt Gill

Scott Stark - Red Hat - Mark Little, **Scott Marlow**

David Blevins - Tomitribe - **Jean-Louis Monteiro**, **Cesar Hernandez**

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Jun Qian - Primeton - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: **Tanja Obradovic**, **Paul Buck** (chair)

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the April 21st meeting as drafted - Approved.

Agenda:

- Jakarta EE [charter](#) Specification Committee Composition - The Committee elects a chair who reports to the Steering Committee. This chair is elected among the members of the Committee. They will serve from April 1 to March 31 of each calendar year, or until their successor is elected and qualified, or as otherwise provided for in this Charter.
 - Question: Are there any objections to the current Spec Committee chair continuing to serve the committee as their non-voting chair for another term?
 - Item to remain open until the next meeting which is on May the 5th - Closed Incumbent (non-voting) chair will continue to serve the committee as their chair for the period concluding on March 31, 2022.
- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of individual specs progress through the [JESP](#)
 - Jakarta EE 9.1 ballot underway for Release Review, closes on May 17th
 - <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pull/372>
Conclusion of a CQ will be voted on May 19 which is after the planned release date `May 14th` but before the marketing release date `May 25th`.
 - CQ are managed separately and if the CQ is denied, that will impact the EE 9.1 release.
 - Jakarta EE 10 Plan Review PRs - Candidate specifications

- <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pulls>
 - Compatible Implementations (CI) to include in [Release Review](#) and [Ratification](#) step of specifications
 - Discussion of this topic in light of experiences with the Jakarta EE 9.1
 - <https://deploy-preview-372--jakartaee-specifications.netlify.app/specifications/web-profile/9.1/>
 - What is the purpose of the implementation to ratify the specification?
 - A. Constrain the set of CIs based on some criteria
 - Currently there is nothing prohibiting multiple builds / distributions of the same open source project to be included in the ratification
 - What is the motivation to be included in the list of projects/products used for ratification?
 - How to limit in a fair and neutral way?
 - For derivatives of open source implementations, how many changes are required to determine the derivatives are distinct?

Request for the EMO to assess these questions and provide input
 - B. Instead of listing the CI's, include a link to the certification request. Then no process change is required. Show the CCRs that were used. Kevin and Ivar to prepare a proposal

Options A. and B. to be revisited in May 19th Spec Committee meeting
- Proposal for how to handle optional features in Jakarta EE specifications including Platform and Web Profile. The proposal is [here](#). Committee to continue to review, provide comments on the mailing list and in the document. To be explored further in the May 19th Spec Committee meeting
- Jakarta EE 2021 Program Plan [Q2 objectives review](#) and progress update
See the spreadsheet for updates and notes